IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.38423 of 2008

PRADEEP KUMAR GODHAR
S/o- Sri Pratap Narayan Yadav,
R/o- Village Bhacheni,
P.S.- Bahevi, District - Darbhanga

Versus

STATE OF BIHAR

---

2. 03.12.2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused in a case registered for the offences under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that although the allegation against the petitioner is that he obtained the appointment by forged letter and subsequently, the order of transfer was equally alleged to be forged. However, it is submitted that during the span of about 7 years, he did not receive salary of single month and as such, the public money was not put to any loss.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that he obtained the appointment by forged letter and subsequently, the order of transfer was equally alleged to be forged. As such, petitioner is not entitled to privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the

case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, prayer for bail on behalf of the petitioner is rejected.

If the petitioner on surrender before the court below makes an application for bail, the same will be considered on its own merits without being prejudiced by the order of this court.

Jagdish/- **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha,J)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL